

ON A CLASS OF WEAKLY LANDSBERG 4-TH ROOT FINSLER METRICS

JILA MAJIDI

Department of Mathematics, Basic Sciences Faculty, University of Bonab, Bonab, Iran.
E-mail: majidi.majidi.2020@gmail.com

ABSTRACT.

The theory of m -th root Finsler metrics is used in Ecology, Biology, Seismic ray theory, Gravitation, etc. It is introduced as a direct generalization of Riemannian metric, in other words, the second root metric is a Riemannian metric. On the other hand, the Riemannian curvature actually reveals the local geometric properties of a Riemann–Finsler metric. In this work, we investigate the class of 4-th root (α, β) -metrics. We prove that every weakly Landsberg 4-th root (α, β) -metrics has vanishing S -curvature. By employing it, we show that a 4-th root (α, β) -metric is a weakly Landsberg metric if and only if it is a Berwald metric.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider a Finsler metric $F = F(x, y)$ on a manifold M of dimension n . Let $G^s = G^s(x, y)$ denote the spray coefficients of F in a local coordinate system. The Landsberg curvature $\mathbf{L} = L_{stu}(x, y)dx^s \otimes dx^t \otimes dx^u$ is a horizontal on $TM/0$, defined by

$$L_{stu} := -\frac{1}{2}F F_{y^k} [G^k]_{y^s y^t y^u}.$$

Finsler metrics F are called Landsberg metrics if $L_{stu} = 0$. The mean Landsberg curvature $\mathbf{J} = J_i dx^i$, defined by

$$J_k := g^{st} L_{stk}$$

If $\mathbf{J} = 0$, Finsler metrics F are weakly Landsberg metrics. obviously, in dimension 2, any weakly Landsberg metric must be a Landsberg metric [1]. In [2], Tayebi and Izadian show that every weakly Landsberg fourth root (α, β) -metric on an $n \geq 3$ -dimensional manifold M is a Berwald metric (assuming that $s_{ij} = 0$). Here, without considering $s_{ij} = 0$, we show every weakly Landsberg 4-th root (α, β) -metric on a manifold of dimension n is Berwald metric (see [3–7]). First, we calculate the S -curvature for the 4-th root (α, β) -metric and use it to show the following theorem

Theorem 1.1. Consider $F = \sqrt[4]{c_1\alpha^4 + c_2\alpha^2\beta^2 + c_3\beta^4}$ be a fourth root (α, β) -metric on a Finsler manifold of dimension n . Then F is Weakly Landsberg metric if and only if $r_{ij} = 0$, $s_{ij} = 0$ (that is, β is parallel with respect to α).

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35B06, 34C14, 76M60.

Key words and phrases. (α, β) -metric, Landsberg metric, m -th root, weakly Landsberg metric.

2. PRELIMINARIES

For a Finsler manifold (M, F) , a global vector field \mathbf{G} is induced by F on TM_0 , which in a standard coordinate (x^i, y^i) for TM_0 is given by

$$\mathbf{G} = y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - 2G^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i},$$

where $G^i = G^i(x, y)$ are local functions on TM given by

$$G^i := \frac{1}{4} g^{il} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2 [F^2]}{\partial x^k \partial y^l} y^k - \frac{\partial [F^2]}{\partial x^l} \right\}, \quad y \in T_x M. \quad (2.1)$$

\mathbf{G} is called the associated spray to (M, F) [8].

The function $F = \alpha\phi(s)$ is a Finsler metric ($\alpha = \sqrt{a_{ts}y^t y^s}$ and $\beta = b_m y^m$ where $\|\beta_x\|_\alpha < b_0$) if and only if ϕ is a positive C^∞ function on $(-b_0, b_0)$ satisfying the following condition:

$$\phi''(s)(b^2 - s^2) - s\phi'(s) + \phi(s) > 0, \quad |s| \leq b < b_0. \quad (2.2)$$

Obviously, $\phi = \phi(s)$ must satisfy

$$\phi(s) - \phi'(s)s > 0, \quad |s| < b_0.$$

The expressed metric $F = \alpha\phi(s)$ on a manifold M is called an (α, β) -metric. Let

$$r_{ij} := \frac{1}{2}(b_{i|j} + b_{j|i}), \quad s_{ij} := \frac{1}{2}(b_{i|j} - b_{j|i}),$$

$$r^i_j := a^{is} r_{sj}, \quad s^i_j := a^{is} s_{sj}, \quad q_{ij} := r_{is} s^s_j, \quad t_{ij} := t_{ik} s^k_j,$$

$$r_j := b^i r_{ij}, \quad s_j := b^i s_{ij}, \quad q_j := b^i q_{ij}, \quad t_j := b^i t_{ij},$$

where "|" denotes the covariant derivative with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of α and $b^i := a^{ij} b_j$, a^{ij} is the inverse of a_{ij} . We define $r_{i0} = r_{ij} y^j$ and $r_{00} = r_{ij} y^i y^j$, etc [9]. For a function $\phi = \phi(s)$ satisfying (2.2). Put

$$Q := \frac{\phi'}{\phi - s\phi'},$$

$$\Delta := 1 + sQ + (b^2 - s^2)Q',$$

$$\Psi := \frac{\phi''}{2[(B - s^2)\phi'' + (\phi - s\phi')]},$$

$$\Theta := \frac{\phi'\phi - (\phi'\phi' + \phi''\phi)s}{2\phi((\phi - s\phi') + (B - s^2)\phi')},$$

where $B := \|\beta\|_\alpha^2$. Let $G^t = G^t(x, y)$ and $G_\alpha^t = G_\alpha^t(x, y)$ denote the coefficients of F and α , respectively, in the same coordinate system. We have

$$G^t = G_\alpha^t + \alpha Q s^t_0 + (r_{00} - 2Q\alpha s_0)(\alpha^{-1}\Theta y^t + \Psi b^t). \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$P := \left[-2Q\alpha s_0 + r_{00} \right] \Theta \alpha^{-1}, \quad Q^t := \Psi \left[r_{00} - 2\alpha Q s_0 \right] b^t + \alpha Q s^t_0.$$

Obviously, if $r_{ij} = 0$ and $s_{ij} = 0$ (β is parallel with respect to α), then $P = 0$ and $Q^i = 0$. In other words, F is a Berwald metric. Let

$$\Phi := (sQ' - Q)\{n\Delta + Qs + 1\} - Q''(sQ + 1)(B - s^2).$$

We can obtain a formula for the mean Cartan torsion of (α, β) - metrics as

$$I_j = -\frac{(\phi - s\phi')\Phi}{2\Delta\phi\alpha^2}(\alpha b_j - sy_j). \quad (2.4)$$

Thus $\mathbf{I} = 0$ if and only if $\Phi = 0$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In [10], Abazari-Khoshdani characterized weakly Berwald fourth root metrics in the form of $F^4 = c_1\alpha^4 + c_2\alpha^2\beta^2 + c_3\beta^4$ (c_1, c_2 and c_3 are constants).

Assume F be an (α, β) -metric on an n -dimensional manifold M . Then the S -curvature of F is given by

$$\mathbf{S} = \left[2\Psi - \frac{f'(b)}{bf(b)} \right] (s_0 + r_0) - \frac{\Phi}{2\Delta^2\alpha} (r_{00} - 2Q\alpha s_0), \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$f(b) := \frac{\int_0^\pi (b \cos t) T \sin^{n-2} t dt}{\int_0^\pi \sin^{n-2} t dt}, \quad T(s) := (\phi - \phi's)^{n-2} \phi [\phi''(b^2 - s^2)(\phi - \phi's)].$$

Here, we calculate the S -curvature of 5-th root (α, β) -metric and obtain the following.

Lemma 3.1. *The S -curvature of 4-th root (α, β) -metric is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S} = & \frac{1}{AB} \left\{ c_2c_1 + c_2^2s^2 + 7c_2c_3s^4 + 6c_3s^2c_1 + 6c_3^2s^6 - \frac{f'(b)}{bf(b)} \right\} (s_0 + r_0) \\ & - \frac{1}{2\alpha A^2 B^3} \left\{ s(16s^5nb^2c_2^2c_1^2c_3 + 12s^4nc_1^2c_2c_3 - 8s^6nc_1c_2^2c_3 - 40s^8nc_1c_2c_3^2 + 4s^3nb^2c_2^3c_1^2 \right. \\ & - 8s^5nb^2c_2^4c_1 + 56s^9nb^2c_2^4c_3 + 300s^{11}nb^2c_2^3c_3^2 + 768s^{13}nb^2c_2^2c_3^3 + 936s^{15}nb^2c_2c_3^4 \\ & + 16s^5nb^2c_3^2c_1^3 - 48s^9nb^2c_3^3c_1^2 - 16s^7nc_2^2c_1^2c_3 + 80s^9nc_2^3c_1c_3 + 272s^{11}nc_2^2c_1c_3^2 \\ & - 8s^5nc_2^3c_1^3c_3 + 8s^9nc_2c_1^2c_3^2 + 360s^{13}nc_2c_1c_3^3 - 144s^{13}nb^2c_3^4c_1 - 38b^2c_1^3c_3c_2s^2 \\ & - 44b^2c_1c_3c_2^2s^4 - 140b^2c_1c_2s^6c_3^2 + 44s^4c_3c_1^2c_2 + 40s^6c_2^2c_1c_3 + 120s^8c_3^2c_2c_1 + 4s^6nc_1^2c_3^2 \\ & - 36s^{10}nc_1c_3^3 - 20s^8nc_2^3c_3 - 66s^{10}nc_2^2c_3^2 - 84s^{12}nc_2c_3^3 + 4s^7nb^2c_2^5 + 432s^{17}nb^2c_3^5 \\ & - 4s^5nc_2^3c_1^2 + 8s^7nc_2^4c_1 - 56s^{11}nc_2^4c_3 - 300s^{13}nc_2^3c_3^2 - 768s^{15}nc_2^2c_3^3 - 936s^{17}nc_2c_3^4 \\ & - 16s^7nc_3^2c_1^3 + 48s^{11}nc_3^3c_1^2 + 144s^{15}nc_3^4c_1 + 2s^2nc_1^2c_2^2 + 4s^2nc_1^3c_3 - 90b^2c_1c_3^3s^8 - 4b^2c_2^3s^2 \\ & - 78b^2c_1^2c_3^2s^4 - 54b^2c_2s^{10}c_3^3 - 10b^2c_2^3s^6c_3 - 45b^2c_2^2s^8c_3^2 + 8s^2c_3c_1^3 + 4s^2c_2^2c_1^2 + 80s^6c_3^2c_1^2 \\ & + 72s^{10}c_3^3c_1 + 12s^{12}c_3^3c_2 + 12s^{10}c_2^3c_2^2 - 2s^6nc_2^4 - 36s^{14}nc_3^4 - 4s^9nc_2^5 - 432s^{19}nc_3^5 - 6b^2c_3 \\ & - 3b^2c_1^2c_2^2 - b^2c_2^4s^4 - 18b^2c_3^4s^{12} + 4s^4c_1c_2^3 - 80s^7nb^2c_2^3c_1c_3 - 272s^9nb^2c_2^2c_1c_3^2 \\ & \left. + 8s^3nb^2c_2c_1^3c_3 - 8s^7nb^2c_2c_1^2c_3^2 - 360s^{11}nb^2c_2c_1c_3^3 \right\} (Br_{00} + 4s(c_2 + 2c_3s^2)s_0), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A & := -c_1 - c_2s^2 - c_3s^4 - 2sb^2c_2c_1 + 2b^2c_2^2s^3 + 10b^2c_2c_3s^5 - 4b^2c_3s^3c_1 + 12b^2c_3^2s^7 + 2c_2s^3c_1 \\ & \quad - 2c_2^2s^5 - 10c_2s^7c_3 + 4c_3s^5c_1 - 12c_3^2s^9, \\ B & := -c_1 + c_2s^2 + 3c_3s^4, \\ T & := (c_1 + c_2s^2 + c_3s^4)^2(c_1 + c_2s^2 + c_3s^4)^{n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we study weakly Landsberg 4-th root (α, β) -metrics and show the following.

Theorem 3.2. *Every weakly Landsberg 4-th root (α, β) -metric has vanishing S -curvature.*

Proof. For an (α, β) -metric $F = \alpha\phi(s)$, the mean Landsberg curvature is given by

$$\begin{aligned} J_t = & -\frac{1}{2\Delta\alpha^4} \left[\frac{2\alpha^2}{b^2 - s^2} \left[\frac{\Phi}{\Delta} + (n+1)(Q - sQ') \right] (r_0 + s_0)h_t \right. \\ & + \frac{\alpha}{b^2 - s^2} (\Psi_1 + s\frac{\Phi}{\Delta})(r_{00} - 2\alpha Qs_0)h_t + \alpha \left[-\alpha Q's_0h_t + \alpha Q(\alpha^2s_t - y_t s_0) \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \alpha^2\Delta s_{i0} + \alpha^2(r_{i0} - 2\alpha Qs_t) - (r_{00} - 2\alpha Qs_0)y_t \right] \frac{\Phi}{\Delta} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\Psi_1 := \sqrt{b^2 - s^2} \left[\frac{\sqrt{b^2 - s^2}\Phi}{\Delta^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right]' \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad h_t := b_t - \alpha^{-1}sy_t.$$

contracting (3.2) with b^t and simplifying it, we have $\mathbf{J} = b^t J_t = 0$ [1]. It is equal to following

$$d_6\alpha^6 + d_5\alpha^5 + d_4\alpha^4 + d_2\alpha^2 + d_0 = 0, \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} d_0 &:= -216\beta^6 r_{00}c_3^3, \\ d_2 &:= 1728\beta^3 c_3^3 b^2 s_0 - 648\beta^2 c_3^3 b^4 r_{00} - 3264\beta^3 c_3^2 s_0 c_2 + 576\beta^2 c_3^2 r_{00} c_1 - 3006\beta^2 c_3 r_{00} c_2^2 \\ &\quad - 48c_3 \beta n s_0 + 36c_3 b^2 n r_{00} - 3c_3 r_{00} b^2 + 2c_2 r_{00} - 102n r_{00} c_2 + 3564\beta^2 c_3^2 b^2 r_{00} c_2, \\ d_4 &:= 1728\beta^3 c_3^3 b^2 s_0 - 648\beta^2 c_3^3 b^4 r_{00} - 3264\beta^3 c_3^2 s_0 c_2 + 576\beta^2 c_3^2 r_{00} c_1 - 3006\beta^2 c_3 r_{00} c_2^2 \\ &\quad + 1728\beta b^6 s_0 c_3^4 + 5184c_3^3 b^4 r_{00} c_1 + 3564c_3^3 b^6 r_{00} c_2 - 29376c_3^3 \beta b^4 s_0 c_2 - 12096c_3^3 \beta b^2 s_0 c_1 \\ &\quad + 76608c_3^2 \beta b^2 s_0 c_2^2 + 21888c_3^2 \beta s_0 c_1 c_2 - 27054c_3^2 b^4 r_{00} c_2^2 - 27216c_3^2 b^2 r_{00} c_1 c_2 - 1944c_3^2 r_{00} c_1^2 \\ &\quad + 21708c_3 r_{00} c_1 c_2^2 - 40640c_3 \beta s_0 c_2^3 + 41769c_3 b^2 r_{00} c_2^3 - 14661r_{00} c_2^4 \\ &\quad + 3564\beta^2 c_3^2 b^2 r_{00} c_2 - 49248c_3^2 b^2 c_1 c_2 n s_0 - 2880c_3^2 c_1^2 n s_0 - 57456c_3^2 b^4 c_2^2 n s_0 + 9072c_3^3 b^4 c_1 n s_0 \\ &\quad + 91440c_3 b^2 c_2^2 n s_0 + 7344c_3^3 b^6 c_2 n s_0 - 33085c_2^4 n s_0 + 40632c_3 c_1 c_2^2 n s_0 - 12c_3^2 b^4 \beta^2 \\ &\quad + 36c_3^2 b^4 n \beta^2 - 36c_3 n c_1 \beta^2 - 420c_3 b^2 n c_2 \beta^2 + 47b^2 c_2 c_3 \beta^2 - 24c_3 c_1 \beta^2 + 555n c_2^2 \beta^2 - 18c_2^2 \beta^2, \\ d_5 &:= -54\beta r_{00} c_3^2 - 42b^4 \beta^5 n r_{00} c_1^4 c_2^4 + 660b^4 \beta^5 r_{00} c_1^5 c_2^2 c_3 + 216b^2 \beta^6 n r_{00} c_1^4 c_2^4 - 56b^2 \beta^5 n r_{00} c_1^5 c_2^3 \\ &\quad - 14b^2 \beta^5 r_{00} c_1^6 c_2 c_3 - 240b^4 \beta^5 r_{00} c_1^6 c_3^2 + 204b^4 \beta^5 r_{00} c_1^4 c_2^4 - 7b^2 \beta^5 r_{00} c_1^5 c_2^3, \\ d_6 &:= -1728c_3^3 \beta b^4 s_0 + 216c_3^3 b^6 r_{00} - 1728c_3^2 b^2 r_{00} c_1 - 3564c_3^2 b^4 r_{00} c_2 + 9792c_3^2 \beta e t a b^2 s_0 c_2 \\ &\quad + 1344c_3^2 \beta s_0 c_1 + 9018c_3 b^2 r_{00} c_2^2 + 3024c_3 r_{00} c_1 c_2 - 8512c_3 \beta s_0 c_2^2 - 4641r_{00} c_2^3 1728\beta b^6 s_0 c_3^4 \\ &\quad + 5184c_3^3 b^4 r_{00} c_1 + 3564c_3^3 b^6 r_{00} c_2 - 29376c_3^3 \beta b^4 s_0 c_2 - 12096c_3^3 \beta b^2 s_0 c_1 + 76608c_3^2 \beta b^2 s_0 c_2^2 \\ &\quad + 21888c_3^2 \beta s_0 c_1 c_2 - 27054c_3^2 b^4 r_{00} c_2^2 - 27216c_3^2 b^2 r_{00} c_1 c_2 - 1944c_3^2 r_{00} c_1^2 + 21708c_3 r_{00} c_1 c_2^2 \\ &\quad - 40640c_3 \beta s_0 c_2^3 + 41769c_3 b^2 r_{00} c_2^3 - 14661r_{00} c_2^4 + 27b^6 c_3^3 n s_0 - 459b^4 c_2 c_3^2 n s_0 - 189c_3^2 b^2 c_1 \\ &\quad + 1197b^2 c_2^2 c_3 n s_0 + 342c_3 c_1 c_2 - 635c_2^3 s_0 + 36c_3 b^2 n r_{00} - 3b^2 c_3 r_{00} - 105n c_2 + 2c_2 r_{00}. \end{aligned}$$

(3.3) implies that

$$d_6\alpha^4 + d_4\alpha^2 + d_2 = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

$$d_5\alpha^5 + d_0 = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

It follows from (3.5) that

$$r_{ij} = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

By putting (3.6) into (3.4) and simplifying the result, we have

$$\eta(x, y)s_0 = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(x, y) := & (1728\beta b^6 c_3^4 - 29376c_3^3\beta b^4 c_2 - 12096c_3^3\beta b^2 c_1 + 76608c_3^2\beta b^2 c_2^2 + 21888c_3^2\beta c_1 c_2 \\ & - 40640c_3\beta c_2^3 - 153b^2 c_2 c_3 - 21c_1 c_3 + 27b^4 c_3^2 + 133c_2^2 + 27b^6 c_3^3 n - 459b^4 c_2 c_3^2 n \\ & - 189c_3^2 b^2 c_1 + 1197b^2 c_2^2 c_3 n + 342c_3 c_1 c_2 - 635c_2^3)\alpha^4 + (51\beta^2 c_3 c_2 - 27\beta^2 c_3^2 b^2 \\ & - 12c_3^2 b^4 \beta^2 + 36c_3^2 b^4 n \beta^2 - 36c_3 n c_1 \beta^2 - 420c_3 b^2 n c_2 \beta^2 + 47b^2 c_2 c_3 \beta^2 - 24c_3 c_1 \beta^2 \\ & + 555n c_2^2 \beta^2 - 18c_2^2 \beta^2 + 1728\beta b^6 c_3^4 - 29376c_3^3\beta b^4 c_2 - 12096c_3^3\beta b^2 c_1 + 76c_3^2\beta b^2 c_2^2 \\ & + 21888c_3^2\beta c_1 c_2 - 40640c_3\beta c_2^3 - 49248c_3^2 b^2 c_1 c_2 n - 2880c_3^2 c_1^2 n - 57456c_3^2 b^4 c_2^2 n \\ & + 9072c_3^2 b^4 c_1 n + 91440c_3 b^2 c_2^3 n + 7344c_3^3 b^6 c_2 n - 33085c_2^4 n + 40632c_3 c_1 c_2^2 n)\alpha^2 \\ & + 9\beta^4 c_3^2 - 48c_3 \beta^4 n. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.7), it is obvious that $\eta = 0$ or $s_i = 0$. Let $\eta(x, y) = 0$. One can rewrite $\eta = 0$ as follows

$$\theta\alpha^4 + \gamma\alpha^2\beta^2 + \varepsilon\beta^4 = 0, \quad (3.8)$$

where $\theta = \theta(x, y)$, $\gamma = \gamma(x, y)$ and $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(x, y)$ are functions on TM . (3.8) implies that

$$\alpha^2 = \left(\frac{-\gamma \pm \sqrt{\gamma^2 - 4\theta\varepsilon}}{2\theta} \right) \beta^2. \quad (3.9)$$

This contradicts with the positive-definiteness of α . Thus $\eta \neq 0$ and $s_i = 0$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1: In [11] Najafi-Tayebi demonstrated that every weakly Landsberg (α, β) -metric with vanishing S-curvature on a manifold M of dimension $n \geq 3$ is a Berwald metric. By Theorem 1.1, every weakly Landsberg 5-th root metric on an $n(\geq 3)$ -dimensional M is a Berwald metric. We consider the class 4-th (α, β) -metrics of dimension $n = 2$. We know that Every 2-dimensional Finsler manifold is C -reducible

$$C_{ijt} = \frac{1}{3} \left\{ h_{ij} I_t + h_{jt} I_i + h_{ti} I_j \right\}. \quad (3.10)$$

Taking a horizontal derivation of (3.10) yields

$$L_{ijt} = \frac{1}{3} \left\{ h_{ij} J_t + h_{jt} J_i + h_{ti} J_j \right\}. \quad (3.11)$$

By placing $\mathbf{J} = 0$ in (3.11), we have $\mathbf{L} = 0$. In other words, the Berwald curvature 2-dimensional Finsler manifold is written as follows

$$B^i_{jkt} = -\frac{2}{F} L_{jkt} l^i + \frac{2}{3} \left\{ E_{jk} h_t^i + E_{kt} h_j^i + E_{tj} h_k^i \right\}. \quad (3.12)$$

By placing $\mathbf{L} = 0$ and $\mathbf{E} = 0$ in (3.12), we conclude that F is a Berwald metric. The proof is complete. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Li and Z. Shen, *On a Class of Weakly Landsberg Metrics*, Springer, **55**(2007).
- [2] A. Tayebi and N. Izadian , *ON WEAKLY LANDSBERG FOURTH ROOT (,)-METRICS*, Global Journal of Advance Research, **7**(2) (2018).
- [3] B. Li and Z. Shen, *On projectively flat fourth root metrics*, Canad. Math. Bull., **55**(2012), 138-145.
- [4] J. Majidi, A. Tayebi and A. Haji-Badali, *On Einstein-Reversible m-th root Finsler Metrics*, International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics, 2022.
- [5] H. Shimada, *On Finsler spaces with metric $L = \sqrt[m]{a_{i_1 \dots i_m}(x)y^{i_1}y^{i_2} \dots y^{i_m}}$* , Tensor (N.S.), **33**(1979), 365-372.
- [6] A. Tayebi, *On the theory of 4-th root Finsler metrics*, Tbilisi. Math. Journal, **12**(1) (2019), 83-92.
- [7] B. Tiwari, M. Kumar and A. Tayebi, *On generalized Kropina change of generalized m-th root Finsler metrics*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India, Sect. A Phys. Sci, **91**(2021), 443-450.
- [8] X. Mo, *An introduction to Finsler geometry*, World Scientific, 2006.
- [9] S. Bácsó, X. Cheng and Z. Shen, *Curvature properties of (α, β) -metrics*, Adv. Stud. Pure. Math., Mathematical Society of Japan, **48**(2007), 73-110.
- [10] N. Abazari and T. R. Khoshdani, *Characterization of weakly Berwald fourth root metrics*, Ukrainian Mathematical Journal, **71**(7) (2019), 1-23.
- [11] B. Najafi and A. Tayebi, *Some curvature properties of (α, β) -metrics*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie., (2017), 277–291.